Enter Local Government Name	Grand Traverse County	
Enter Six-Digit Municode	280000	Instructions: For a list of detailed instructions on how to
Unit Type	County	complete and submit this form, visit
Fiscal Year End Month	December	michigan.gov/LocalRetirementReporting.
Fiscal Year (four-digit year only, e.g. 2019)	2020	
Contact Name (Chief Administrative Officer)	Nate Alger	Questions: For questions, please email
Title if not CAO		LocalRetirementReporting@michigan.gov. Return this
CAO (or designee) Email Address	dbott@gtcountymi.gov	original Excel file. Do not submit a scanned image or PDF.
Contact Telephone Number		original excertile. Do not submit a scanned image of PDF.
OPEB System Name (not division) 1	Grand Traverse County - County	If your OPEB system is separated by divisions, you would
OPEB System Name (not division) 2	Grand Traverse County - Pavilions	only enter one system. For example, one could have
OPEB System Name (not division) 3		different divisions of the same system for union and non-
OPEB System Name (not division) 4		union employees. However, these would be only one system
OPEB System Name (not division) 5		and should be reported as such on this form.

			•				
Line	Descriptive Information	Source of Data	System 1	System 2	System 3	System 4	System 5
1	Is this unit a primary government (County, Township, City, Village)?	Calculated	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2	Provide the name of your retirement health care system	Calculated from above	Grand Traverse	Grand Traverse			
3	Financial Information		County - County	County - Pavilions			
4	Enter retirement health care system's assets (system fiduciary net position ending)	Most Recent Audit Report	1,355,458	1,217,642			
5	Enter retirement health care system's liabilities (total OPEB liability)	Most Recent Audit Report  Most Recent Audit Report	2,493,486	1,682,694			
6	Funded ratio	Calculated	54.4%	72.4%			
7	Actuarially determined contribution (ADC)	Most Recent Audit Report	197,414	89,681			
<i>7</i> a	Do the financial statements include an ADC calculated in compliance with Numbered Letter 2018-3?	Most Recent Audit Report	YES	YES			
8	Governmental Fund Revenues	Most Recent Audit Report	59,175,320	59,175,320			
9	All systems combined ADC/Governmental fund revenues	Calculated	0.5%	0.5%			
0	Membership			0.0.1			
	to Produce and the other control of	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit					
11	Indicate number of active members	Report	169	141			
4.5	Indicate number of inactive members	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit					
12	indicate number of mactive members	Report					
13	Indicate number of retirees and beneficiaries	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	42	27			
13		Report					
14	Provide the amount of premiums paid on behalf of the retirants	Most Recent Audit Report or Accounting Records	71,192	81,156			
15	Investment Performance						
16	Enter actual rate of return - prior 1-year period	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	14.09%	13.09%			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Report or System Investment Provider					
17	Enter actual rate of return - prior 5-year period	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	0.00%	0.00%			
		Report or System Investment Provider					
18	Enter actual rate of return - prior 10-year period	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	0.00%	0.00%			
10	Actuarial Assumptions	Report or System Investment Provider					
19	·	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit					
20	Assumed Rate of Investment Return	Report	7.35%	7.35%			
		Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit					
21	Enter discount rate	Report	7.35%	7.35%			
	Annual and a control of the state of the sta	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit					
22	Amortization method utilized for funding the system's unfunded actuarial accrued liability, if any	Report	Level Percent	Level Percent			
	Amortization period utilized for funding the system's unfunded actuarial accrued liability, if any	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit		4.5			
23	Amortization period utilized for funding the system's unfunded actuarial accrued liability, if any	Report	14	16			
24	Is sook division within the content placed to some social services.	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit		V			
24	Is each division within the system closed to new employees?	Report	No	Yes			
25	Health care inflation assumption for the next year	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	8.25%	0.00%			
23	Thealth care limation assumption for the next year	Report	0.23/0	0.00%			
26	Health care inflation assumption - Long-Term Trend Rate	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	4.50%	0.00%			
		Report	4.50%	0.00%			
27	Uniform Assumptions						
28	Enter retirement health care system's actuarial value of assets using uniform assumptions	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	1,355,458	1,076,711			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Report	,,	** **			
29	Enter retirement health care system's actuarial accrued liabilities using uniform assumptions	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	2,614,022	1,585,443			
20	Constant action or in a conference on a constant	Report					
30	Funded ratio using uniform assumptions	Calculated	51.9%	67.9%			
31	Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) using uniform assumptions	Actuarial Funding Valuation used in Most Recent Audit	199,242	133,161			
32	All systems combined ADC/Governmental fund revenues	Report Calculated	0.6%	0.6%			
32 38	Summary Report	Calculated	0.0%	U.0%			
34	Did the local government pay the retiree insurance premiums for the year?	Accounting Records	YES	YES			
35	Did the local government pay the retiree insurance premiums for the year:  Did the local government pay the normal cost for employees hired after June 30, 2018?	Accounting Records Accounting Records	YES	YES			
,,	Side and rocking over mineric play the mornial cost for employees mined after June 30, 2010:		IES	IES			
		Primary government triggers: Less than 40% funded AND greater than 12% ARC/Governmental fund revenues. Non-	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
36	Does this system trigger "underfunded status" as defined by PA 202 of 2017?	Primary government triggers: Less than 40% funded	NO	NO	NO	INO	140
٥	poes this system trigger underlunded status as defined by PA 202 of 2017?	Primary government triggers: Less than 40% funded					

Requirements (For your information, the following are requirements of P.A. 202 of 2017)			
Local governments must post the current year report on their website or in a public place			
The local government must electronically submit the form to its governing body.			
Local governments must have had an actuarial experience study conducted by the plan actuary for each retirement system at least every 5 years			
Local governments must have had a peer actuarial audit conducted by an actuary that is not the plan actuary OR replace the plan actuary at least every 8 years.			

By emailing this report to the Michigan Department of Treasury, the local government acknowledges that this report is complete and accurate in all known respects.